Present

GRS IX–5]

Previous references
Mune 32-21 & 6 7, 8, 14, 18
23.24.

1922
(Mune)

File No 23-22

Serial Nos 10 & 12

Later references

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos ✓

Part B for October 22

SUBJECT

Retention of the post of Collector and
Assessor in the Mysore City municipality

12 To, The President, City Municipality Mysore
The Comptroller
No L 3103-4/L 22.10.22

Sanction the proposal for
the retention of the posts referred to

L.S
18/12/22

Printed on the Multigraph

No 45
Exc.

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT,

CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL, MYSORE.

Dated 16th Sept. 1922.

FROM,

RAJASABHABHUSHANA DIWAN BAHADUR,
C SRIKANTESVARA AIYAR, B A, B L,
PRESIDENT, CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,
MYSORE

20 SEP 1922

To,

T.L. Jayaram Iyer, Esquire, B A., B L,
Secretary to the Government Of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore (Revenue Department)

Sir,

In forwarding herewith copy of Resolution of the Municipal Council passed at a Special meeting held in the Rangacharlu Memorial Hall on Saturday the 9th instant, I have the honour to state that the Resolution explains fully and clearly the reasons for the retention of the post of the -- Collector and assessor in the interests of the Municipality and for the satisfactory collection of Municipal Revenue and that I concur with this view which is what had been expressed in paragraph 4 of my letter No 38 (a) dated 15th September 1921 to Government

I have the honour therefore to request that you may be so good as to obtain the approval of Government for the retention of the post of Collector and assessor in this Municipality, whose pay is already provided for in the sanctioned Budget for the current year as the abolition of the post had not been sanctioned at the time of framing it

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

President

2

G R S III 2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 23 of 1922

Serial No

2

2 The Question relates to the office of Collector, Cch Municipal Council. At a Meeting of the Council held on 4th August 1921, it was resolved that the post of Collector of Municipal taxes on Salary of Rs 60 to 20 might be abolished as a measure of retrenchment. In forwarding the resolution the President said that he was different if this arrangement would work satisfactorily. He said the duties of the Collector were onerous and related to such matters as making a preliminary valuation of the Assessment of buildings scrutinizing items of increase and decrease in demand, Conducting Miscellaneous Sales and exercising close supervision and Control over the Collection Staff. The proposal was however approved by Govt.

y The Subject was again Considered at the Meeting of the Municipal Council held on 9 September 1922 when it was resolved that the retention of the post of Collector was necessary. This was Carried by 19 votes against 1, four remaining neutral.

Some instances of misappropriation of Collections have come to the notice of Govt and it is felt that the Supervision over this dept should not be slackened. For such a big Municipal Council, a Collector on

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD A 1789—GPB—60 000—20 3 13—S.

1604 3

R S III 2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 23 of 1922

Serial No

is not too much. There is provision in the budget for municipal [illegible] A draft appropriation of the Council's Resolution is put up (Dewan)

W J 8/10/22

Reference

W 73. 60 14/10/22

Issue

Sd 14/10/22

12 To the President, City Municipal Commissioner
" Comptroller to Govt
No. L 3103-4 & 22 10-22
Sanctions the proposal in Sl No

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Rcvd. on OCT 1922
Reg. No. 2174

NOTE—Contn sheet

WD A 1789—GPB—60·000—°0 3 19—S.

Proceedings of the 10th (Special) meeting of the City Municipal Council, Mysore, held in the Rangacharlu Memorial Hall on Saturday the 9th September 1922 at 4 P.M.

Present.

Rajasabhabhushana Diwan Bahadur,

C. Srikantaiyangar Aiyar, B.A., B.L., President.

1. B. Basavaradhya, Esq., B.A., B.L., Vice-President.
2. S.P. Rajagopalachari, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
3. Mahomed Sait Esq.,
4. K. Dharmakotichetty, Esq.,
5. Khan Saheb P. Pallonji, Esq.,
6. T. Virupakshiah, Esq.,
7. V. Thiruvengada Modaliar, Esq.,
8. Mahomed Jaffer Saheb, Esq.,
9. Charles Theobald, Esq.,
10. M. Nanappa, Esq.,
11. P. Purushothamanandagiri Goswamy, Esq.,
12. C.M. Abdul Aziz Pasha, Esq.
13. Srikantachar, Esq.,
14. M. Narayanaswami, Esq.,
15. Dharmaprakasa L. Ramaiah, Esq.,
16. T.S. Siddappa, Esq., L.M & S.,
17. M.L. Vasudeviah, Esq.,
18. M.K. Madhava Rao, Power, Esq.,
19. Settappa Siddappa, Esq.,
20. Lokasevaniratha Amble Anniah Pandit, Esq
21. B. Munivenkatappa, Esq.,
22. P.S. Ananthu Rao, Esq.,
23. H. Lingaraj Urs, Esq.,
24. U R Lingoji Rao, Esq.,

Absent

1. Rev. T.S. Edwards, B.A.,
2. Gowhar V. Thimmiah, Esq.,
3. H. Setharji Rao, Esq.,
4. Appu Sultan Allikhan, Esq.,
5. (Vacant)

Requisition dated 31st August 1922, from 16 Municipal Councillors for modification of Council Resolution No II dated 29th June 1922 to admit of the post of Collectorship being retained

Mr M L Vasudeviah moved that Resolution No II passed by the Council in their meeting of 29th June 1922 should be modified as specified in the requisition, for the reasons that the Collector's post is necessary for effective management of the growing and important sources of Municipal Revenue and that collections therefrom, that it had been abolished twice or thrice before and revived

again and again finding by experience that the work of collection was not satisfactory without a Collector which had also been exemplified recently while he was absent on leave when both the Revenue as well as the collections went down, and also on the ground that by abolishing the Collector's post there will be no saving as thereafter we shall require both an Assessor and an Accountant besides having also to meet the pension charges of the present Collector, all of which will be far more expensive than the present single post of a Collector and assessor, not justifying the object of retrenchment which is to secure economy

Lokasevaniratha Mr Amble Anniah Pandit seconded and Mr P.S. Anantha Rao in supporting the modification pointed out that the Rules of service required that in carrying out retrenchments, interests of eligible pensionable officers should also be guarded as far as possible by finding suitable appointments for them and when it was not feasible to do so, the retrenchment should be deferred in order to effect real saving and also for reasons adduced by Mr M.L. Vasudeviah.

Mr L. Purushothamanandagiri Goswamy objected to the subject on the ground that the proposition was not in order, in as much as the Resolution No.II of the Council dated 29th June 1923, referred to, was to give effect to the Government Order sanctioning the retrenchment and that the present subject was to revive the Collectorship which the Council had previously resolved to retrench and had not provided for in the Budget The proposition was ruled to be in order

Mr Mahomed Sait opposed the modification on the grounds that, the retrenchment Committee had recommended the abolition of the Collector's post, the Council had approved of it, the Government had sanctioned it, on receipt of Government sanction the Council had resolved that the Collector should be given notice of discharge which had been given and there were only 20 days more remaining, and that

the new proposals had not been tried and the necessity for retaining it not established

Mr Y Virupakshiah argued that the Council had the right to reconsider their decisions and as the post of Collector and Assessor was an important one, carrying with it the onerous duty of valuing and recommending proper assessments, it was necessary to retain it and that/an experienced officer was required for it

Messrs K.Dhanakotichetty and V Thiruvengada Modaliar also concurred in that view.

The proposition was then put to vote and was carried, 19 voting for and 1 against it, 4 remaining neutral

Resolved that the resolution No II dated 29th June 1922 noted in the margin be modified as follows:-

II Government Proceedings No L 6894-5/ Ml:33-21-23 dated 25th May 1922 sanctioning certain retrenchments & revision of certain establishment of the City Municipality

Resolved that the Collector's & Two Sanitary Inspector's places be abolished from 1st July 1922 & due notice of discharge be given to the parties concerned under Article 301 of the Service Regulations

I Resolved that the two Sanitary Inspector's places be abolished from 1st July 1922 and due notice of discharge be given to the persons concerned, under Article 301 of the Mysore Service Regulations

2. Further resolved that the post of the Collector be retained in the interest of proper collections of taxes etc , as there will be no appreciable savings by its abolition

(Sd,) C.Srikanteswara Aiyar
President

"Copy"

The President

G R S VI—6/4

DRAFT

SUBJECT . .. . , ..

.. ..

.. .. , ..

Section ...
File No 23 - 22
Serial No 12

Diary No of letter replied to ...

Proceedings of the Government of
H H the Maharaja of Mysore

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT
( )
, *the* .. *191*

READ —

Letter No 45 of 10 4 22

~~dated~~ , from the ...
... city ... council
soliciting sanction to the ... of the
appointment of the collector of
~~at a cost of Rs~~ ... brakes which
was ... to be ...
... 4 0 ... 4 4824-5 ... 33 21 24
~~the cost being met from the provision~~
~~made under~~ ... 15th May 1922

~~in the current year's budget~~

ORDER THEREON —No 3103-22

Sanctioned

... 24/10/22

... Secretary to Government

... 11/10/22

To

... ...
... ...

Date of issue 22/10/22

Signed by ...
...